

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/346,559	06/30/1999	DAVID GOLDBERG	D/99176	2589
7:	590 01/29/2003			
JOHN E BECK XEROX CORPORATION XEROX SQUARE 20A ROCHESTER, NY 14644			EXAMINER	
			MITCHELL, MONICA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				TALER NOMBER
		2622		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/346,559	GOLDBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Monica J. Mitchell	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/346,559 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,7,11,12-19,21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zdybel, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,486,686).

Regarding claim 1, Zdybel discloses a method for authenticating a hardcopy document, comprising the steps of: recording in a memory a scanned representation of the hardcopy document at a selected resolution (column 6, lines 49-62); generating lossy compressed image data wit the scanned representation of the hardcopy document (column 7, line 62 to column 8, line 4); producing an authentication token with the lossy compressed image data (column 8, lines 38-50), the authentication token including one of the encrypted image data and hashed encrypted image data(column 8, lines 47-50), the hashed encrypted image data including the lossy compressed image data and an encrypted hash of the lossy compressed image data (column 9, lines 38-45); and arranging in the memory the scanned representation of the hardcopy document with a digital encoding of the authentication data for rendering at a printer a signed hardcopy document (column 8, lines 30-38).

Regarding claim 7, Zdybel discloses the method further comprising the step of encoding the authentication token in embedded data (column 8, lines 47-50).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 11, Zdybel discloses the method wherein said encoding step encodes the authentication token in data glyphs (column 9, lines 13-37).

Regarding claim 12, Zdybel discloses the method wherein said step of generating lossy compressed image data loses document formatting contained in the scanned representation of the hardcopy (column 7, line 62-column 8, line 4).

Regarding claim 13, Zdybel discloses the method wherein said step of generating lossy compressed image data further comprises the step of compressing the scanned representation of the hardcopy document by identifying exemplars and locations of exemplars (column 7, line 62 to column 8, line 4); each exemplar identified representing one or more image segments form the scanned representation of the hardcopy document (column 7, lines 4-29).

Regarding claim 14, Zdybel discloses the method wherein said compressing step records the exemplars at a resolution that is less than the selected resolution of the scanned representation of the hardcopy document (column 7, line 49 to column 8, line 4).

Regarding claim 15, Zdybel discloses the method wherein said compressing step records that locations of exemplars at a resolution that is less than the selected resolution of the scanned representation of the hardcopy document (column 7, line 49 to column 8, line 4).

Regarding claim 16, Zdybel discloses the method wherein said compressing step compresses identified portions of the image data at a plurality of compression ratios (column 10, line 40 to column 11, line 9).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 17, Zdybel discloses the method further comprising the step of segmenting text data from the pictorial data before compressing the scanned representation of the hardcopy documents (column 9, lines 53-68).

Regarding claim 18, Zdybel discloses a method for authenticating a hardcopy document, comprising the steps of: recording in a memory a scanned representation of the hardcopy document at a selected resolution (column 6, lines 49-62); generating lossy compressed image data wit the scanned representation of the hardcopy document (column 7, line 62 to column 8, line 4); producing an authentication token with the lossy compressed image data (column 8, lines 38-50), the authentication token including one of the encrypted image data and hashed encrypted image data(column 8, lines 47-50), the hashed encrypted image data including the lossy compressed image data and an encrypted hash of the lossy compressed image data (column 9, lines 38-45); and arranging in the memory a digital encoding of the authentication data for rendering at a printer a label containing the digital encoding of the authentication data (column 8, lines 30-38; printer label can be read as a hardcopy containing digital encoding of the authentication data).

Regarding claim 19, Zdybel discloses the method further comprising the step of fixedly attaching the label to the hardcopy document to produce a signed hardcopy document (column 11, lines 13-26; hardcopy can be read as label and can be attached to a hardcopy to produce a signed hardcopy document).

Regarding claim 21, Zdybel discloses a system for authenticating a scanned representation of a hardcopy document, comprising: an image compression module for

Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

generating lossy compressed image data wit the scanned representation of the hardcopy document (column 7, line 62 to column 8, line 4); an authentication token generator for producing an authentication token with the lossy compressed image data (column 8, lines 38-50), the authentication token including one of the encrypted image data and hashed encrypted image data(column 8, lines 47-50), the hashed encrypted image data including the lossy compressed image data and an encrypted hash of the lossy compressed image data (column 9, lines 38-45); and an encoding module for arranging in the memory the scanned representation of the hardcopy document with a digital encoding of the authentication data for rendering at a printer a signed hardcopy document (column 8, lines 30-38).

Regarding claim 23, Zdybel discloses the system wherein said image compression module compresses the scanned representation of the hardcopy document by identifying exemplars and locations of exemplars (column 7, line 62 to column 8, line 4); each exemplar identified representing one or more image segments form the scanned representation of the hardcopy document (column 7, lines 4-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2622

4. Claims 2-5, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zdybel, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,486,686) and further in view of Merkle et al. (U.S. Patent 5,157,726).

Regarding claim 2, Zdybel discloses the method further comprising the step of verifying the signed hardcopy document by: recording a scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 6, lines 49-62), decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document, authenticating the lossy compressed image data using one of the encrypted image data and the hashed encrypted image data (column 8, lines 38-50), and decompressing the authenticated lossy compressed image data for comparison with the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic.

Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the step of verifying the signed hardcopy document by: decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document and decompressing the authenticated lossy compressed image data for comparison with the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic.

However, Merkel discloses the method further comprising the step of verifying the signed hardcopy document by: recording a scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 3, lines 61-67), decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 4, line 66, to column 5, line 32), authenticating the lossy compressed image data using one of the encrypted image data and the hashed encrypted image data and decompressing the

Art Unit: 2622

authenticated lossy compressed image data for comparison with the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic (column 3, line 67 to column 4, line 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Merkle with the teachings of Zdybel to authenticate a copy to verify that the copy is in fact identical to an original document from which the copy was prepared.

Regarding claim 3, Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the step of visually comparing the signed hardcopy document with the authenticated lossy compressed image data.

However, Merkle discloses the method further comprising the step of visually comparing the signed hardcopy document with the authenticated lossy compressed image data (column 3, line 67 to column 4, line 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Merkle with the teachings of Zdybel to authenticate a copy to verify that the copy is in fact identical to an original document from which the copy was prepared.

Regarding claim 4, Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the step of visually comparing the signed hardcopy document with a printed hardcopy document of the authenticated lossy compressed image data.

Art Unit: 2622

However, Merkle discloses the method further comprising the step of visually comparing the signed hardcopy document with a printed hardcopy document of the authenticated lossy compressed image data (column 8, lines 37-68).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Merkle with the teachings of Zdybel to authenticate a copy to verify that the copy is in fact identical to an original document from which the copy was prepared.

Regarding claim 5, Zdybel fails to disclose the method wherein said step of producing an authentication token is performed with a private key and said step of authenticating lossy compressed image data is performed with a public key.

However, Merkle discloses the method wherein said step of producing an authentication token is performed with a private key (column 3, lines 53-55) and said step of authenticating lossy compressed image data is performed with a public key (column 3, lines 61-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Merkle with the teachings of Zdybel to authenticate a copy to verify that the copy is in fact identical to an original document from which the copy was prepared.

Regarding claim 20, Zdybel discloses the method further comprising the step of verifying the signed hardcopy document by: recording a scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 6, lines 49-62), decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document, authenticating the

Art Unit: 2622

lossy compressed image data using one of the encrypted image data and the hashed encrypted image data (column 8, lines 38-50), and decompressing the authenticated lossy compressed image data for comparison with the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic.

Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the step of verifying the signed hardcopy document by: decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document and decompressing the authenticated lossy compressed image data for comparison with the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic.

However, Merkel discloses the method further comprising the step of verifying the signed hardcopy document by: recording a scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 3, lines 61-67), decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 4, line 66, to column 5, line 32), authenticating the lossy compressed image data using one of the encrypted image data and the hashed encrypted image data and decompressing the authenticated lossy compressed image data for comparison with the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic (column 3, line 67 to column 4, line 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Merkle with the teachings of Zdybel to authenticate a copy to verify that the copy is in fact identical to an original document from which the copy was prepared.

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 22, Zdybel discloses the system further comprising: a memory recording a scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 6, lines 49-62), a decoding module for decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document, an authentic module for authenticating the lossy compressed image data using one of the encrypted image data and the hashed encrypted image data (column 8, lines 38-50), a decompression module for decompressing the authenticated lossy compressed image data to define decompressed image data; means for comparing the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic.

Page 10

Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the step of verifying the signed hardcopy document by: a decoding module for decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document and a decompression module for decompressing the authenticated lossy compressed image data to define decompressed image data and means for comparing the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic.

However, Merkel discloses the method further comprising the step of verifying the signed hardcopy document by: a memory for recording a scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 3, lines 61-67), a decoding module for decoding the authentication token form the scanned representation of the signed hardcopy document (column 4, line 66, to column 5, line 32), an authentication module for authenticating the lossy compressed image data using one of the encrypted image data and the hashed encrypted image data, a decompression module for

Art Unit: 2622

decompressing the authenticated lossy compressed image data to define decompressed image data (column 3, line 67 to column 4, line 10) and means for comparing with the signed hardcopy document to determine whether the signed hardcopy document is authentic (column 3, line 67 to column 4, line 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Merkle with the teachings of Zdybel to authenticate a copy to verify that the copy is in fact identical to an original document from which the copy was prepared.

5. Claims 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zdybel, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,486,686) and further in view of Curry (U.S. Patent Number (5,706,099).

Regarding claim 6, Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the step of encoding the authentication token in a low intensity background pattern.

However, Curry discloses the method further comprising the step of encoding the authentication token in a low intensity background pattern (column 3, line 31-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Curry with the teachings of Zdybel to have a less obtrusive code that will not affect the tone of the image upon rotation and will still allow data to be encoded within the halftone image.

Regarding claim 8, Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the encoding step encodes the authentication token in a halftone pattern.

Art Unit: 2622

However, Curry discloses the method further comprising the encoding step encodes the authentication token in a halftone pattern (column 3, line 31-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Curry with the teachings of Zdybel to have a less obtrusive code that will not affect the tone of the image upon rotation and will still allow data to be encoded within the halftone image.

Regarding claim 9, Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the encoding step encodes the authentication token in a hyperbolic halftone pattern.

However, Curry discloses the method further comprising the encoding step encodes the authentication token in a hyperbolic halftone pattern (column 3, line 31-57 and column 4, line 63 to column 5, line 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Curry with the teachings of Zdybel to have a less obtrusive code that will not affect the tone of the image upon rotation and will still allow data to be encoded within the halftone image.

Regarding claim 10, Zdybel fails to disclose the method further comprising the encoding step encodes the authentication token in a serpentine halftone pattern.

However, Curry discloses the method further comprising the encoding step encodes the authentication token in a serpentine halftone pattern (column 3, line 31-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made to include the teachings of Curry with the teachings

Application/Control Number: 09/346,559 Page 13

Art Unit: 2622

of Zdybel to have a less obtrusive code that will not affect the tone of the image upon rotation and will still allow data to be encoded within the halftone image.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica J. Mitchell whose telephone number is 703-306-3430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 703-305-4712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3455 for regular communications and 703-746-3455 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

mjm

January 27, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600